

Doug Schauerte

From: Norman Snowshoe <nsnowshoe@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2016 12:05 PM
To: Doug Schauerte
Subject: Re: 2016 Election Review

Hello,

The following is the Summary of my presentation to the committee.

1. The nomination process is unclear.
 - a. Why do you need 25 signatures in area that do not have high populations?
 - b. Is there criteria for who can sign?
 - c. The signature process also creates conflict within the community because everyone knows who signs for who.
 - d. the official agent has too many restrictions
2. There is an advantage to incumbents because they know when the election is going to happen. In fact our MLA started campaigning via social media and had signs etc. posted before the election even began.
3. The regional returning officer could not be contacted throughout the campaign. The territorial returning officer should be available to fix this and also be available to answer our questions.
4. The community returning officer did not have the information that we needed.
5. I put campaign signs up on my supporters home and was told that it was too close to the polling station. These are small communities with houses in close proximity to centers.
6. I was penalized for late reporting. However, the elected candidates got access to public legal counsel and we did not.
7. I was told that candidates don't take donations due to strict reporting requirements.
8. Candidates reported not spending money on their campaign and not getting donations. How do you account for trips to the communities?

I would like to present these to the committee in person and participate in any discussion that the committee may have.

Thanks,
Norman Snowshoe

On Mon, Aug 15, 2016 at 5:12 PM, Doug Schauerte <Doug_Schauerte@gov.nt.ca> wrote:

Good afternoon Norman,